

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,034	06/15/2006	Philippe Gauthier	P08951US00/BAS	6756
881 7590 04/04/2008 STITES & HARBISON PLLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET			EXAMINER	
			HOLLERAN, ANNE L	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583.034 GAUTHIER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANNE L. HOLLERAN 1643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,034 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to human anti-idiotypic antibody Fab or scFv fragments that mimic Her-2/neu tumor associated antigen.

Group II, claim(s) 9 and 10, drawn to methods for preparing antigen-presenting cells useful for inducing Her-2/neu-specific protective antitumor immunicity, comprising contacting an antigen-presenting cell with an anti-idiotypic antibody fragment of claim 1.

Group III, claim(s) 11, drawn to an isolated APC prepared according to the method of claim 9.

Group IV, claim(s) 12-15, drawn to "use" of an antibody fragment according to claim 1 or a multimer of the antibody fragment for the preparation of a medicament for the prevention or treatment of a tumor wherein Her-2/neu is overexpressed.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature that is common to groups I-IV is that of an anti-idiotypic Fab or scFv fragment that mimics Her-2/neu tumor associated antigen, which technical feature is not a special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art as a whole for the following reasons: Baral teaches an anti-idotypic monoconal antibody that functionally mimics the human Her2/neu epitope (see Baral, R. et al., Int. J. Cancer, 92: 88-95, 2001; cited in the IDS; abstract). Further, methods of generating phage-displayed anti-idiotypic antibody single chain variable fragments that bind to a monoclonal antibody directed against a tumor antigen are known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Fengtian (Fengtian, H. et al., Chin. Med. Sci. J. 17(4): 215-219, 2002), and libraries for making human scFv fragments are known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Marks (Marks, J.D. et al., J. Mol. Biol., 222(3): 581-597, 1991; see abstract). Therefore, a human anti-idiotypic antibody Fab or scFv fragment that mimics Her2/neu antigen does not appear to involve an inventive step, and is not a special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art as a whole.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,034

Art Unit: 1643

The technical feature for group I is that of human anti-idiotypic antibody Fab or scFv fragments that bind Her-2/neu.

The technical feature for group II is that of contacting an antigen presenting cell with an antiidiotypic antibody Fab or scFv fragment that mimics Her-2/neu.

The technical feature for group III is that of an antigen presenting cell.

The technical feature for group IV cannot be determined because the claims of group IV recite "use" claims and it is unclear if these are claims to methods of making a product, claims to a product, or claims to methods of treatment.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In re Ochiai:

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found

Art Unit: 1643

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Holleran, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0833. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry

Art Unit: 1643

Helms, can be reached on (571) 272-0832. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the

status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose

telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Official Fax number for Group 1600 is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner

March 21, 2008

/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643